1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CULHANE MEADOWS PLLC 13101 Preston Road, Ste. 110-1510 Dallas, Texas 75240 Millicent Meroney (State Bar No. 151304) Telephone: 512-585-0912 mMeroney@cm.law Caroline Morgan (Pro Hac Vice to be filed) Telephone: 917-635-4940 cmorgan@cm.law Jingjing Ye (Pro Hac Vice to be filed) Telephone: 469-410-5232 jye@cm.law Lawrence Kass (Pro Hac Vice to be filed) Telephone: (914) 564-5694 lkass@cm.law Attorneys for Defendants, LILY CHAO ET AL.	
10		
11	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
14	ARIEL ABITTAN,	Case No.: 5:20-cv-09340-NC
15	PLAINTIFF,	DECLARATION OF MILLICENT S. MERONEY IN SUPPORT OF
16	v.	DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
17	LILY CHAO ET AL,	
18	DEFENDANTS,	Date: November 10, 2021 Time: 1:00 p.m. Place: Courtroom 5, 4 th floor
19	and	Magistrate Judge: Nathanael M. Cousins
20	EIAN LABS INC.,	
21	NOMINAL DEFENDANT.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	DECLARATION OF MILLICENT S.	

DECLARATION OF MILLICENT'S.
MERONEY IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

1	I, Millicent Meroney Lundburg, a member of the Bar of the California and counsel for	
2	Defendants in this civil action, declare as follows on information and belief:	
3	1. Exhibit 1 hereto is a true and correct copy of an email chain between <i>inter alia</i>	
4	Plaintiff's counsel Constantine Economides and Defendants' predecessor counsel	
5	Casey O'Neill, the most recent email being date stamped August 6, 2021 1:29 PM.	
6 7	I declare under penalty of periury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
8	Respectfully submitted,	
9 10	DATED: October 1, 2021 CULHANE MEADOWS PLLC Millicent S. Meroney	
11	By: /s/ Millicent S. Meroney	
12		
13 14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	DECLARATION OF MILLICENT S.	

Exhibit 1

From: Casey O'Neill <coneill@fenwick.com>
Sent: Friday, August 6, 2021 1:29 PM

To: Constantine Economides

Cc: Brianna Pierce; Jennifer Bretan; Felix Lee; Dean Kristy

Subject: RE: Temujin-Abittan

Constantine,

Sounds good. Depending on where our discussions come out after assessing the service issues, we can then submit a schedule for responding and briefing.

Circling back on the state case and CMC, I checked and the position on service has not changed. In light of that, could you please send me whatever revisions you have to the CMC statement? Will it incorporate all defendants' positions, or should I also check back in with Eddie Han separately?

Casey O'Neill

Fenwick | Of Counsel | +1 415-875-2027 | coneill@fenwick.com | Admitted to practice in California and New York.

From: Constantine Economides < ceconomides@rcfllp.com>

Sent: Friday, August 6, 2021 8:37 AM

To: Casey O'Neill <coneill@fenwick.com>

Dean Kristy < DKristy@Fenwick.com>

Subject: Re: Temujin-Abittan

** EXTERNAL EMAIL **

Casey,

I've attached the email from the Cayman Central Authority. Unfortunately, they did not tell us the date of service, but we're following up and will let you know once we've heard.

We also sent copies for service on Ding and Chao at Temujin Cayman, and our understanding is that we're awaiting certificates of service confirming service on all three defendants.

Although we maintain that service is valid, I anticipate that you'll challenge the service as to Ding and Chao. Given the gap in time from the service date and your receipt of the documents, we'll agree to a reasonable extension. Once we get the proofs of service and you've assessed the service, how about we meet and confer over timing and your request to bifurcate? To be clear, I'm not saying we'll agree to bifurcate, but we can discuss.

Thanks,

Constantine P. Economides

Counsel

Roche Freedman LLP Southeast Financial Center 200 S Biscayne Blvd Suite 5500 Miami, FL 33131 (t) (305) 851-5997 (@) ceconomides@rcfllp.com

From: Casey O'Neill < coneill@fenwick.com>
Date: Thursday, August 5, 2021 at 9:52 PM

To: Constantine Economides < ceconomides@rcfllp.com>

Cc: Brianna Pierce < bpierce@rcfllp.com >, Jennifer Bretan < jbretan@fenwick.com >, Felix Lee

<FLee@Fenwick.com>, Dean Kristy < DKristy@Fenwick.com>

Subject: Temujin-Abittan

Constantine,

Thanks for speaking with me earlier. I expect to get back to you early tomorrow morning regarding your proposal that the individuals accept service of a cross-complaint in the state action.

As a follow-up to our call, you indicated that you will be furnishing us with proof of service on the Cayman entity via the Hague Convention, although you do not yet have that proof. We suggest that, at least for now, Temujin Cayman's response to the complaint be due 30 days after you provide us with that proof. We can meet and confer if we perceive there to be any service issue and agree to bifurcate Rule 12 motions if there is. Does that work for you?

Casey O'Neill

Fenwick | Of Counsel | +1 415-875-2027 | coneill@fenwick.com | Admitted to practice in California and New York.

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.